[544] Kafka Reliability

Tyler Caraza-Harter

Outline: Kafka Reliability

Kafka Replication

Fault Tolerance

Exactly-Once Semantics

Three brokers, 2 partitions, replication factor=1



Three brokers, 2 partitions, replication factor=3



Three brokers, 2 partitions, replication factor=3



Fetch Requests



Followers: In-Sync vs. Lagging Too Far Behind

broker servers



Minimum In-Sync Replicas (Assume 2 Here)



•

٠

Backoff: Not Enough Replicas Exception



Outline: Kafka Reliability

Kafka Replication

Fault Tolerance

Exactly-Once Semantics

What if the leader fails? Elect a new one!



Kafka Replica Failover



Some Messages Seen by Old Leader Lost



Review "Committed": WhatsApp Acks Example



these are examples of "acks" (acknowledgements)

In distributed storage systems/databases, an ack means our data is committed.

"Committed" means our data is "safe", even if bad things happen. The definition varies system to system, based on what bad things are considered. For example:

- a node could hang until rebooted; a node's disk could permanently fail
- a rack could lose power; a data center could be destroyed

In Kafka's leader/follower replica design, what are some "bad things" we might worry about?

Kafka: Committed Messages

Messages are "commited" when written to ALL in-sync replicas.

Depending on how many are in-sync, the strength of the guarantee can vary, but min.insync.replicas lets us specify a worst case.

If number of concurrent broker failures < min.insync.replicas, then our committed data is safe, even if the leader fails (because we can elect another in-sync replica, and all in-sync replicas have all committed data).

Committed Messages



What is committed?

- assume RF=3 and minimum in-sync=2
- is message 8 commited? •
- message 10?
- message 11?

Working with Committed Data

How can we avoid "anomalies" (unexpected system behavior) by taking advantage of commited data?

Example I:Write Anomaly

Scenario:

- producer writes a message
- produce receives an ACK (acknowledgement) from the broker
- consumers never see the message

Cause: maybe the leader sent an ACK back, then crashed, before replicating the message to the followers.

How to avoid it? Use strong acks.

Consumer initialization:

- KafkaProducer(..., acks=0)
 don't wait for leader to send back ACK
- KafkaProducer(..., acks=1)
 ACK after leader writes to its own log
- KafkaProducer(..., acks="all")
 ACK after data is committed (slowest but strongest)

If you don't get an ACK that data is commited, usually best to retry in a loop (Kafka can be configured to do this for you).

Example 2: Read Anomaly

Scenario:

- a consumer reads a message
- there is an attempt to read the message again later (same consumer, or other)
- message is gone, or changed

Cause: maybe the message was consumed from the leader before it was replicated to the followers; then the leader crashed and the new leader doesn't have that message for future consumption.

How to avoid it? Never read un-committed data.

The leader does this automatically.

Fetch Behavior: Consumer vs. Follower



Outline: Kafka Reliability

Kafka Replication

Fault Tolerance

Exactly-Once Semantics

Semantics (Meaning)



Programming Example:

- Runtime bug: the program crashed, there was clearly a problem
- Semantic bug: you need to understand the meaning of the results to say whether or not the program behaved correctly

In Systems:

- what does it mean when we get we get an ACK, or a write returns?
- the meaning depends on how we configured things...

At-most-once semantics

producer = KafkaProducer(..., acks=1)
producer.send("my-topic", b"some-value")

With acks as 0 or 1 and no retry, a successful write means the data was recorded at most once (ideally once, but if the leader crashes at a bad time, maybe zero times).

Using strong ACKs and retry

producer = KafkaProducer(..., acks="all", retries=10)
producer.send("my-topic", b"some-value")

Keep retrying until success (within reason -- for example, 10 times)

Problem: there are two reasons we might not get an ACK:



Using strong ACKs and retry

producer = KafkaProducer(..., acks="all", retries=10)
producer.send("my-topic", b"some-value")

Keep retrying until success (within reason -- for example, 10 times)

Problem: there are two reasons we might not get an ACK:



A strong ACK with retry provides *at-least-once* semantics because we're guaranteed I+ messages upon success

Are duplicate messages OK?

Yes, if they're idemponent.

iopmat

"An operation is called idempotent when the effect of performing the operation multiple times is equivalent to the effect of performing the operation a single time" ~ *Operating Systems:Three Easy Pieces, by Arpaci-Dusseau*

| x = | 0 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------------------------------|----|-------|----|----|-----|-------|--|
| У = | 0 | <pre># if we just set_x(123)</pre> | do | once, | is | it | the | same? | |
| def | set_x(value): global x | set_x(123) set_x(123) | | | | | | | |
| | x = value | <pre># if we just inc_y(3)</pre> | do | once, | is | it | the | same? | |
| def | inc_y(value): global y y += value | inc_y(3) inc_y(3) | | | | | | | |
| — | | | | | | | | | |

Supressing Duplicates

With some cleverness, we can make ANYTHING idempotent.

```
v = 0
completed ops = set()
def inc y(value, operation id):
    global y
    if not operation id in completed ops:
        y += value
        completed ops.add(operation id)
inc y(3, 1251253)
inc y(3, 1251253) # no effect
inc y(3, 1251253) # no effect
inc y(3, 9876)
              # no effect
inc y(3, 9876)
inc y(1, 5454)
```

Exactly-Once Semantics: Producer Side

Upon a successful write, the message will be considered exactly once (duplicates will be supressed by brokers or consumers).

Producer settings:

- acks="all"
- retry=N
- enable.idempotence=True

With idempontence enabled, producers automatically generate unique operation IDs and brokers supress duplicates (this has an extra cost).

You can use enable.idempotence in Java, but the kafka-python package doesn't support it.:

- need to handle it yourself
- often, messages have a unique ID anyway, so consumers can ignore dups
- Example: weather stations that emit one record per day -- if a consumer sees a date for a station it has seen before, ignore it

Exactly-Once Semantics: Consumer Side

Topic Partitions



Suppose consumer dies and is replaced by another in the same group

- don't want replacement to miss any messages
- don't want replacement to repeat any processing

| | gl offsets |
|-----------|------------|
| clicks[0] | 2 |
| clicks[1] | I |

Exactly-Once Semantics: Consumer Side



Exactly-Once Semantics: Consumer Side



Approach I: Manually Commit Offsets



Kafka

consumer

Approach 2: Externally Save Commits



Conclusion

Every part of the system has a part to play in reliability and exactly-once semantics.

Producer:

- requesting strong acks
- retry
- idempotence

Broker:

- replicating data to followers
- failing over to new leader
- sending acks
- helping producer supress duplicates
- keeping uncommitted data hidden from consumers

Consumer:

- carefully handling read offsets
- sometimes supressing duplicates (if not handled by producers+brokers)